

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| 10/807,548 | | 03/23/2004 | Randy L. Rummel | DYCOOK.014C1 | 3425 |
| 20995 | 7590 | 07/08/2004 | | EXAM | IINER |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP | | | | BASICHAS, ALFRED | |
| 2040 MAIN FOURTEEN | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| IRVINE, CA | 4 92614 | | | 3749 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimun. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (compared to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to bee Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . / | N N |
|--|--|----------|
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRIT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum. If the period for reply sendied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum. If the period for reply sendied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum. If the period for reply sendied above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (7) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sendied above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication, as the replication to be dearly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, as fleet the mailing date of this communication, as fleet the mailing date of this communication. Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formations closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) be defined and the proper of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. | Applicant(s) | 111 |
| Alfred Basichas The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRIT THE MAILINIG DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (7 Policy 10 | RUMMEL ET AL. | 1 U 1 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (7) Failure to reply with specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (7) Failure to reply with so of created period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to bee Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formationation of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing with a proving and the correction is required if the drawing and the correction is required if the drawing and all b) some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | Art Unit | θ |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (7 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to bee Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formatolosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirements. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing and the promity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive and plication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | 3749 | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum. If NO period for reply wills in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formatolosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing All b) Some * c) None of: 1) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | heet with the correspondence address | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forma closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected point and point in the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing that any objected to by the Examiner. Note the attemption of the content of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forma closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forma closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193 Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirements. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirements. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required in the drawing sheet | , | |
| A) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing the objected to by the Examiner. Note the attemption to the drawing sheet of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | is |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attemption 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.s. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the dr 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the att Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attemption of the drawing of the Examiner. Note the attemption of the drawing of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received and Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | • | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) object Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attemption of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attemption of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1. Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | 1 | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 | (d). |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | |
| | ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National Stage a)). | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Page 19 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following minor informalities: In the third 1. line, the term "on" should be changed to --one--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 3. USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 1.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 4. obviousness or nonobviousness.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of 4. the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/807,548

Art Unit: 3749

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 11, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Resuello (D473,096), which discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations including a gas cooktop (fig. 2) comprising: four gas burners arranged in substantially a rectangular shape; a fifth gas burner centrally positioned between the four burners, with the fifth burner being substantially equal distant from each of the four burners; and a grate extending over said fifth burner for supporting a cooking utensil that enables the utensil to overlap into area partially above any of the surrounding four units, wherein each of said burners has a center, and the center of said fifth burner is located approximately at the intersection of a diagonal line between the centers two opposite corners burners of the four burners and a diagonal line between the centers of the other two of the four burners, wherein the grate extends over all of the burners and defines an upper plane at which utensils are supported, said grate being formed in three sections, and wherein said grate sections include a plurality of spaced fingers that extend inwardly above a burner to support a cooking utensil. Resuello does not specifically recite the particular arrangement of the grate, particularly the recesses and the shape of the third grate and particular dimensions of the arc made by its shape. The claimed shape and arc angle of the grates is an obvious modification based on

Art Unit: 3749

design choice, and depends on spatial and esthetic considerations. In view of the absence of criticality for this particular design, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated it into the invention disclosed by Resuello, so as to provide for spatial considerations.

- 6. Claims 3, 10, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Resuello (D473,096), which discloses the claimed invention except for the oven positioned underneath the cooktop. Official Notice is given for the notoriously well known arrangement of an oven positioned underneath a cooktop. This arrangement is well known for its convenience and space saving characteristics. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the claimed combination into the invention disclosed by Resuello, so as to provide for space saving and convenience in use.
- 7. Claims 4 and 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Resuello (D473,096), which discloses the claimed invention except for the width of the cooktop being 30 inches. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the claimed width into the invention disclosed by Resuello, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Resuello (D473,096), which discloses the claimed invention but does not specifically

Art Unit: 3749

recite that the burner tops and grate tops are at substantially the same height, respectively. Official Notice is given for the notoriously well known arrangement of the burner tops and grate tops at substantially the same height, respectively. This arrangement is well known for its convenience and cost effectiveness in manufacture, as well as safety. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the claimed combination into the invention disclosed by Resuello, so as to provide for convenience and cost effectiveness in manufacture, as well as safety.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306 3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0861.

July 2, 2004

Midd Basichas Primary Examiner 703 306 3476